

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/820,411	04/08/2004	Dale W. Malik	190253-1040	7811
38823	7590 09/29/2006		EXAMINER	
THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, LLP/ BELLSOUTH I.P. CORP			FILIPCZYK, MARCIN R	
100 GALLERIA PARKWAY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 1750			2163	
ATLANTA, GA 30339			DATE MAILED: 09/29/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_			
	10/820,411	MALIK, DALE W.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_			
	Marc R. Filipczyk	2163				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 A</u>	pril 2004					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	esecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
· 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 April 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	* ' '					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
· — _	s have been received					
<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Burea	•	ou in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ed.				
		· <del>- ·</del>				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ratent Application				
. apar motorman bato						

Art Unit: 2163

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is responsive to Application filed on April 8, 2004 wherein claims 1-20 were submitted for examination.

## Specification-Abstract

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an **abstract** of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently and should include more details such as: "combined search" and "combinatorial score".

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

#### Content of Specification

Brief Summary of the Invention: See MPEP § 608.01(d). A brief summary or general statement of the invention as set forth in 37 CFR 1.73. The summary is separate and distinct from the abstract and is directed toward the invention rather than the disclosure as a whole. The summary may point out the advantages of the invention or how it solves problems previously existent in the prior art (and preferably indicated in the Background of the Invention). In chemical cases it should point out in general terms the utility of the invention. If possible, the nature and gist of the invention or the inventive concept should be set forth. Objects of the invention should be treated briefly and only to the extent that they contribute to an understanding of the invention.

The summery of the disclosure is objected to because the summery should be directed toward the invention rather than the disclosure as a whole. The summary may point out the advantages of the invention or how it solves problems previously existent in the prior art. If possible, the nature and gist of the invention or the inventive concept should be set forth.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(d).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The basis of this rejection is set forth whether the invention accomplishes a practical application and whether it generates a useful, concrete and tangible result.

The guidelines explain that a practical application of a 35 U.S.C. 101 judicial exception is claimed if the claimed invention physically transforms an article or physical object to a different state or thing, or if the claimed invention otherwise produces a useful, concrete, and tangible result.

In the present case, independent claims 1, 8 and 15 do not involve transformation of article or physical object to a different state or thing, they merely recite searching data. Further, independent claims 1, 8 and 15 do not produce a useful, concrete, and tangible result, but merely

search data to obtain a result so that a score is assigned to the result. State Street, 149 F.3d at 1373-74, 47 USPQ2d at 1601-02.

Claims 1, 8 and 15 taken as a whole are directed to a mere method and system, i.e., to only its description or expression, is descriptive material per se, do not comprise a practical application as explained above hence are nonstatutory.

Since the claimed invention, as a whole, does not comprise a practical application as explained above, claims 2-7, 9-14 and 16-20 which depend from claims 1, 8 and 15 respectively, are deemed to be directed to non-statutory subject matter.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Woods (U.S. Patent No. 6,101,491).

Regarding claims 1, 8 and 15, Woods discloses a system, medium and method comprising (fig. 1 and abstract):

means for searching for sensitive information (fig. 1, items 120, 130 and query & parameters);

means for obtaining a result of the search (fig. 1, items 120, 130, 140a,b and hits); and

means for assigning a score to the obtained result, the score being assigned in accordance with a predefined criterion (figs. 1 and 4, items 120, 130, 140a,b, 410-440 and *scores*).

Regarding claims 2, 9 and 16, Woods discloses searching for items of information associated with an individual (col. 4, line 65-col. 5, line 3).

(Note: web searching includes searching information associated with an individual)

Regarding claims 3, 10 and 17, Woods discloses assigning an individual score for each of the items of information (figs 1 and 4, item 430).

Regarding claims 4, 11 and 18, Woods discloses assigning a combinatorial score to the personal information, the combinatorial score being a function of the score for at least two of the items of information (fig. 4, items 430 and 440).

Regarding claims 5, 12 and 19, Woods discloses searching for a first item of information and,

means for searching for a second item of information (figs. 1 and 4, items 130 and 410).

Regarding claims 6, 7, 13, 14 and 20, Woods discloses,

means for assigning a first score to the first item of information (figs. 1 and 5, item 530);

means for assigning a second score to the second item of information (figs. 1 and 5, item

530); and,

Application/Control Number: 10/820,411

Art Unit: 2163

Page 6

means for assigning a third score to the sensitive information, the third score being a

function of the first score and the second score (fig. 5, items 540, 550 and 560, and col. 9, lines

19-35, merge hits & scores and results).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Marc R. Filipczyk whose telephone number is (571) 272-4019.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8:30am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Don Wong can be reached on 571-272-1834. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MF

September 26, 2006

DON WON

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100**